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College acquires new properties

By JOSEPH F. PIRRO
Over the past few months the college has purchased property in Collegeville. This has been a difficult chore, because real estate in Collegeville is expensive and hard to find. However, two houses have been acquired, one at 44 Sixth Ave., and the other at 702 Main St.

The residence at 44 Sixth Ave., was purchased in the pursuit of a long-term goal of the college, according to President Richard P. Richter. This goal is to enable faculty members to live within the college bounds. President Richter said, “We have always wanted the atmosphere at Ursinus to be residential.” Presently, Dr. Schroeder is occupying the house.

In order to increase the residential atmosphere, the college has long-term plans to acquire property for faculty use from time to time. Many of the faculty members are now compelled to travel long distances in order to teach at the college. As more properties are acquired, professors will have a better chance to live on campus. This will allow for more informal student-teacher interactions, and greater participation in activities of the college.

Ursinus has also purchased another house at 702 Main St. This house has a true Ursinus history, which President Richter described. The house was owned by Josephine Sheeder who graduated from Ursinus in 1921. About one month ago, she passed away, and the college obtained the house. Mrs. Sheeder and her husband, who worked in admission in the 1930s and 40s, had occupied the house since 1928. In those early years, the house was called Lynnwood Hall. The Sheeders acted as house-parents to Ursinus women students.

A while after, the house was rented to non-students. Mrs. Sheeder lived on the first floor and there were more than a half-dozen units upstairs.

The college is now renting the house to non-college residents. It is rented by a faculty member. Once again, this house is part of a long-term plan of combining many houses into a campus village which would include all the Main Street houses.

Crime and punishment: The new system

By BRIAN KELLEY
Upperclassmen have probably noticed an increase in the activity of the Judiciary Board this semester. The three cases heard by the J-Board so far seem to have come up much more rapidly than students are used to.

This semester, the board has heard cases involving possession of a BB-gun, removal of a fire extinguisher and vacuum cleaner from a dorm, and a disruptive behavior after hours in the Quad. It has barred one student from living on campus, put two on disciplinary probation, and has given two disciplinary warnings.

J. Houghton Kane, dean of student life, attributes this increased activity to the changes in the judiciary system instituted last year, and to the fact that the people involved in the system are more used to it this year.

Whereas students used to make the choice between staying within the Office of Student Life or going in front of the J-Board, the board can now make a disciplinary hearing mandatory.

The disciplinary system is described in detail in the Student Handbook. Understanding it can be helpful for a student who is charged with violating college rules.

When disciplinary problems come to the attention of Dean Kane, he discusses the nature of the case with the executive committee of the J-Board. This committee, which is made up of some board members including chairman Richard S. BreMiller, decides if the case is appropriate for the board.

If the committee decides that a hearing is not necessary, one may still be scheduled if a student chooses a hearing over a disciplinary warning issued by the committee. This decision after Dean Kane explains the penalties that the OSL plans to impose.

If the committee decides that the board should hear the case, this is the judiciary path that must be taken.

The hearing committee is made up of three students and three faculty members. A student to be brought before the board can challenge the presence of any of the members at the hearing.

The proceedings begin when a Notice of Hearing is given to the student. This notice details the charges of the college, any witnesses to be called, and documents to be used (such as security reports or items from the student record).

Within 24 hours of receiving the notice, the student must provide a written response to the charges, a list of witnesses, and must name what is called a “campus friend.”

The campus friend can be anyone from the college who wishes to help the student through the hearing.

Frequently, the campus friend is Luke Nelligan, president of the USGA. Nelligan describes the role of the campus friend as making sure the hearing is fair and the positive aspects of the student are presented.

Kane said that the campus friend is important in providing guidance to someone who is unfamiliar with how the J-Board works.

After hearing the case, the board decides if the student is guilty, and if so, what action should be taken.

The board can choose from a number of punishments: dismissal from college, suspension from residence halls, disciplinary probation (involving the loss of many campus privileges) or disciplinary warning.

Both the OSL and the student have the right to appeal the board’s decision to President Richter. Richter can change the very nature of the punishment, or make small modifications in the penalty imposed.

This disciplinary system differs from the old one in that students used to choose between the OSL and the J-Board before knowing what punishment the OSL felt appropriate. Also, the board could not deem that a hearing was mandatory.

In Kane’s opinion, the new system does not provide for better dispensation of discipline. He sees its benefit in the experience it provides a student who appears before the board. Kane said that the community participation resulting from increased J-Board activity is also valuable.

Kane said that the system’s problems are that it is time consuming and can be distressing to those involved.

President Richter seemed pleased with the system. “I think the system, which has been evolving over the last couple of years, is doing fairly well,” he said.

Nelligan said that the structure of the board allowed decisions to be based on both faculty and student viewpoints. He said that the board tends to be unbiased and to vote based on what is right.

Nelligan thinks that most students who have hearings feel that the process is fair.

Next issue (probably): The views of students who have been up before the J-Board.

Officials seek return of missing air masks

Police and fire officials are seeking information concerning the recent theft of two air masks from the Collegeville Fire Company.

The masks were taken from a fire truck sometime between Saturday, Nov. 10 and Monday, Nov. 12. The truck was parked on Fifth Avenue in front of the fire station.

Anyone with information that could lead to the recovery of the equipment or the arrest of the people involved can call the Collegeville police at 489-3322.

Written messages can be left at either the police or fire stations. People with information can remain anonymous.

According to a police information sheet, "The primary purpose of this equipment is for the search and rescue of victims of fire." The sheet stresses the equipment’s importance to the safety of the Collegeville community.
Editorial

Force feeding the student body

You can lead a student to a forum, but you can't make him think. Yet the administration continues its policy of required forum attendance convinced that students will eventually develop an interest in the programs if they're forced to sit through enough of them. Unfortunately, the result is largely futile and usually embarrassing.

As the result of computing card rush is often embarrassing, the most recent example being last Friday's forum on cosmic evolution, Wismer was filled beyond comfortable capacity with many people sitting on the floor behind the seats. Imagine the speaker's delight at seeing this audience packed into the hall. Then imagine his frustration and disappointment as what started as mild chatter in the audience built up to a dull roar by the end of the lecture.

The administration should make it easy for any student to find two appetizing offerings. The administration continues its policy of requiring forum attendance if they're forced to sit at a forum that they have no interest in. Good forum attendance will mean something more than the mindless fulfillment of an obligation.

B.K.

The editors encourage students to submit suggestions for future forum speakers to The Grizzly. We will publish all serious suggestions and bring them to the attention of the Forum Committee. Your suggestions can be left in the Student Pub Office (2nd floor, Union), or in The Grizzly mailbox (basement, Corson). Suggestions do not have to be signed.

Letters

Campus sage defends lever pullers

Dear Editor:

I would like to call your attention to last week's editorial: "Pulling the big lever." You mention that "big lever pullers (floating voters) whether Republican or Democrats, endanger all of the aspects of life in America that we ought to cling to." The fact of the matter is, that this country of ours was founded upon the option that Americans were free to pull a big party lever. People who do so believe that each and every person on the ticket is best qualified for the office that they are running for. If people hold this ideology, why should they not vote for those candidates, even if they are of the same party? Doing so would better the American government rather than undermine the principles of it, for the elected represent the best interests of the electorate.

I would also address another ideology that you've once again misconstrued: "The [floating voters] presuppose that all men and women who run for public office are merely organs through which party platforms are implemented." Might this statement reflect the attitudes of ticket-splitters as well? They too may be swayed by a party's platform. This does not mean that "They [floating voters] presuppose that ideologies are better equipped to determine the policies and priorities of our formation service of Urisinus College. We are a non-violent, non-partisan, non-profit, equal opportunity organization who states that we will be accepting new program ideas from the student body.

God? Leory? Oops!

We apologize also to Leroy Moser, who, as far as we know, has plans of assuming the handle "Leory," in spite of what we printed on page four of last week's issue.

In "Theatre Review: 'A Thurber Carnival,'" which appeared in last week's issue of The Grizzly, one of the skit titles was erroneously reported as "If God Had Been Drinking at Appomattox." If indeed it had been God who was drinking that day, we doubt that even the witty Mr. Thurber could have imagined the outcome.

The proper title is "If Grant Had Been Drinking at Appomattox." Our apologies to Mr. Thurber (may he rest in peace), Mr. Kehoe, Mr. Henry, the cast, the crew, and anyone whose religious sensibilities were offended.

The editors of The Grizzly regretfully announce that we have neither the time nor the patience to emend all errors that appear in each week's issue. We will endeavor to correct any major blunders that escape our detection before press-time. However, we recognize that production gaits are inevitable in any understaffed publication that aspires to be more than a placemat for Friday's luncheon table.

We thank those of you who were so kind to tell us that "been" is not the proper way to spell "been." (Catherine Douglas, letter, page two). Your astuteness is astounding. In such matters though our corrective policy is guided by a simple rule of thumb: "If it don't confuse the author's intention, we aint gonna correct it."

The Grizzly was founded in 1978, replacing the previous campus newspaper, The Urisinus Weekly. It is published by students two weeks each semester. The Grizzly is edited entirely by students and the views expressed in the paper are not necessarily those held by the administration, faculty, or a consensus of the student body. The staff of The Grizzly invites opinions from the college community and will publish them as time and space permit.
Roving Reporter
Compiled by Kim Walter—Pictures by Chuck Brucker

"If there was one way in which you could improve Wismer, what would it be?"

Carla Smith
Fr. Bio Major
"We need more mothers in the kitchen.

Mike Pascali
"Get topless waitresses and more Bob Spennato.

Carla Rathbun
Fr. Math Major
"Fire the Chef.

Tom Kershner
Jr. Phys Ed
"Students must learn that Wismer is a dining hall, not a zoo. But staff must help the students understand this by preparing a better variety of foods.

CAMPUS MEMO

By Richard P. Richter
The Grizzly editors abused themselves and you, their fellow students, in their Nov. 2 editorial. The editors said that you are "fat and happy," "smug and selfish." You lack the courage, they said, "to look beyond the tinted windows of the B.M.W.'s in your future."
The editors believed that you "no longer acknowledge that true individual happiness is contingent upon the happiness of all."
The editor's advice was to solve that by electing Walter Mondale and creating a new public agenda. Mondale's defeat of course is now history. Does that mean that you are left with no choice but to pursue the entire editors called an "orgy of self gratification?"
Surely not. Whoever is in office or out of office, you have an obligation to show concern about the "happiness of all." You are studying at Ursinus, I hope, not just to get a piece of the action but to prepare for your own critical involvement in setting the priorities of the system. By "system" I do not mean merely the political system but the whole life we lead as a nation.
The decline of a sense of responsibility worries many observers beyond our own Grizzly editors. These observers see increased cruelty in personal relations between young people. They see in your people an indifference to the hardship of others suffering from injustice, hunger, poverty, pollution.

As students at Ursinus, you have a better chance than most of your contemporaries to prepare for a responsible role of service. You should see more readily than many that, as John Winthrop said, "the care of the public must oversway all private respects...particular estates cannot subsist in the ruin of the public..."
The Reform tradition of the College emphasizes that the life we lead and the society we build are extensions of a divine creation to which we have a sacred responsibility.

Many today feel uncomfortable in expressing it just that way. But the mission of the College still urges you to understand that an educated person is obligated to care, whether or not religious language is used. The curriculum acquaints you from numerous angles with dilemmas that call for responses in the public interest. In student life you can daily have a chance to practice understanding of others who may not think just like you. The forum program, the open dialogs, the action agendas of student organizations — all bend to the purpose of educating you for service to the larger good.

All of us could be pursuing that purpose more effectively than we are. The editors deserve thanks for pointing to the problem.

Study abroad

A student's trip to Scotland

By Julie Lertora
Michael A. Renninger, a senior philosophy major, spent his junior year at the University of St. Andrews in Scotland on a scholarship from the St. Andrew's Society of Philadelphia.

In order to receive the scholarship, Michael submitted essays and had interviews with Ursinus and the St. Andrews Society. The St. Andrews Society was founded in the early 1700's and was designed to help Scottish immigrants become established in the United States. The Society now grants scholarships each year to three American college students with good academic standing and preferably, with Scottish ancestry.

Michael studied theology at St. Andrews, which was founded in the 12th century and lies on the east coast of Scotland on the North Sea. Many of Michael's tests were taken purely from material on his reading list, not from lectures.

He enjoyed his year at St. Andrews very much. He enjoyed the challenge of adjusting to the Scottish way of life. He found that he could feel at home there, and made new friends.

He lived in what was once a priory, which he said had a nice atmosphere. The priory had stained glass windows, an open spiral staircase, a huge common room with fireplaces, and a view of the botanical gardens and the North Sea.

Michael was inspired by the beauty of Scotland and the North Sea, and found that St. Andrews was an ideal place to spend a year of studies.
In the chemistry department, for example, the total enrollment for the Spring of 1984 was less than that of the Fall of 1983. However, the average grades were almost identical. Similarly, the total enrollment in the mathematics department for the Spring of 1983 was remarkably less than that of the Fall of 1982. Once again, however, the average grades were almost the same.

There are, of course, some exceptions. In the physics department, the average grade for the Spring of 1984 was sharply higher than the average grade for the Fall of 1983. In the English department, the average grade for the Spring of 1983 was higher than that of the Fall of 1983.

For the Fall of 1982 and the Spring of 1983, the total attendance for the political science and history department remained constant. However, the average grades differed by a couple points for the political science department while the average grades of the history department remained the same.

Finally, it is interesting to note that the majority of the grades were either in the mid-70s or low 80s. This trend is present throughout each of the seven randomly chosen departments.

Does this say anything about the capability of the average Ursinus student? Maybe and maybe not. It is hard to tell just by looking at a few examples. However, the figures really do speak for themselves.
Gridders defeat Dickinson, 45-14

By Tim Cosgrave
A capacity parents Appreciation Day crowd saw the Ursinus Grizzlies defeat the Dickinson Red Devils, 45-14. The victory raised the Grizzlies record to 3-5 while Dickinson dropped to 1-9. The victory was satisfying as it enabled the coaches to play the entire offensive and defensive squads.

The Grizzlies had the ball eight times in the first half. They scored on five of them. The offensive surge was led by junior tailback Joe Sawyers. Sawyers, from Dix Hill, N.Y. rushed for 96 yards and scored three touchdowns. Sawyers also gained 60 yards on six receptions. For the day, Sawyers accounted for 156 of the 355 yards gained, a truly fine day, Sawyers accounted for 156 of the 355 yards gained. The defensive line was led by Charles Defeo, who recovered a fumble and recorded two sacks.

The special teams also made a major contribution to the victory. Kicker John Carey was 6-4 in P.A.T.'s and was also successful on a 44 yard field goal. Punter Steve Bocardo punted three times for a 37.3 yard average. Joe Vereen, returning his first punt of the year, put excitement into the crowd as he just missed breaking a punt return for a touchdown.

This week the Grizzlies travel to Brooklyn, N.Y. to encounter the Brooklyn College Trojans. The Trojans will be the biggest, fastest team the Grizzlies have played all year. The game is extremely important as a victory will enable the team to tie last year’s 4-5 record.

Bear Bits: Sam McNulty received the MAT award for best performance by an offensive lineman. Mark Gill and Scott Welpert both had interceptions Saturday... John Haurin displayed fine running form as he received a lateral and gained two yards... Roger Brewster scored the final tally on a Q.B. keeper.

X-country runner earns trip to Nationals

By DOUG NEVINS
Ursinus cross country runner Mike Griffith became one of the elite few to earn a trip to Nationals as he qualified for tomorrow’s NCAA Division III Championship meet at Ohio Wesleyan, in action last Saturday.

Griffin turned in his stellar performance in the NCAA regional meet held at Lebanon Valley. Only the top seven individuals gained the trip to Nationals and he made it with room to spare as he took the fifth spot.

Teamwise, the Bears just barely missed qualifying as they took fourth place, with only the first three teams making Nationals. Merely four points separated Ursinus and the third place Susquehanna squad.

Doug Nevins and Al Pertig ran with the leaders throughout the race and both almost qualified individually. Tom Keshner and Jim Harle were next across the line for the Bears, while John Gelhard and Keith Kerr closed out the scoring.

Noted Coach Dave Symonds, “It’s a little frustrating being so close and yet missing out. However, at least we can take some consolation in knowing we are one of the best teams in the region!”

Tomorrow’s Nationals meet will see Griffith attempt to become the first ever Ursinus runner to gain All-American status. That distinction will go to the first 25 runners across the line.

Swimmin’ women... and the Mermen

By Debbie Clough
The Ursinus women’s swim team opened its dual meet season this past Saturday with an awesome showing against a huge Dickson squad. Highlighting the meet were outstanding performances by the infamous Camp sisters. Not only do the walk alike, talk alike and look alike, they also fly through the water alike! Heather Camp captured the school record in the 1,000 free with a time of 11:50.9 and Heidi (Heather Jr.) Camp took two first places in 100 and 50 yard freestyles.

Three newcomers to the team had an impressive first meet. Freshman Tiffany Brown won the 200 backstroke as well as taking a strong second in the 200 free. Amy David took first place in the 200 IM and earned a much deserved second in the grueling 200 fly. Freshman Julie LeDov made her swimming debut and turned in her best times in the 50 and 100 freestyles.

Maria Pribula used her new hydrodynamic o’chi hairdo to the best of her advantage and came up with a stunning second in the 200 back. She also combined with Heather Camp, Joanne “Bat­man” Bateman and Heidi (Heather Sr.) to take a third in the 400 free relay. The 400 medley relay of Tiffany Brown, Debbie Clog, Amy David and Joanne Bateman started the meet with an impressive second place and took fourth place, with only the first three teams making Nationals. Merely four points separated Ursinus and the third place Susquehanna squad.

Kim Keister turned in some of her best times in the 50 free and 500 free. Co-captains Debbie Clough and Bonnie Keene commented on their performances: “Thank God for the freshmen! Somebody’s got to get these first places and save our weary bones!” Deb had a solid third place finish in the 200 breaststroke and took another third in the 200 free. Bonnie turned in spectacular times in her 200 breaststroke and 1000 free swims.

Diver Meg Early made quite a splash in the diving event! Meg received stitches after hitting her head on the one meter board. She was optimistic saying, “At least I (See WOMEN, P4)

By SCOTT WILLIS
The fighting Ursini once again hit the dusty road to Dickinson on Saturday, but this time no one even came close to dipping from fright. The pilots were changed to “Bouncing Brian Warrender and Chip. Once in Carlisle the team got wet. While the Ursini didn’t come out of the meet victoriously, there were many excellent performances. Dickinson is an annual challenge to the Ursini but due to their overall strength and depth they were able to overcome the onslaught by the Ursini.

Some excellent performances were shown at this meet, “especially by our younger swimmers,” says Coach Boeb Sieracki. The 400 medley relay (Reds, Skeeter, Scott, Gavin) did well and two splits (the fly and free legs) were simply awesome. Greg Zwack and Rock (Head) Heebner both showed great quantities of mental fortitude as they blasted through the 1000 free (11:17.40) and 11:21.55 respectively. Greg was blessed by being able to swim a practice within the meet. In addition to the 100 free he swam the 500 free and 200 fly. Reds had a great showing in the 200 free with a time of 1:56.50. Jerry Killoran (mistakenly overlooked in the previous article due to technical difficulties) swam well in the 50 (See MEN, P6)
FOOTBALL ACTION
1:30 Saturday
At
Brooklyn College

- Women
(Continued from Page 5)

got this trouble out of the way in the first meet!" Lynn Messier did a tremendous job after the accident and pulled a much needed third place for the women's team. Coach Bob Sieracki had this to say about the meet: "The 68-36 score really doesn't reflect the girls' performances. I was quite impressed with the "mer" chicks' times and I know they'll keep improving every meet." Thanks Boob! Next on the agenda for the team: road trip with weekend to Bloomsburg with the Aquabears — come to see us Lori! BINGO!

College Press Service
When Stanford University History Professor Michael Kazin requested a State Department speaker to join an October 30th symposium about El Salvador, he didn't expect weeks of waffling and red tape.

That, however, is exactly what he got as the Reagan administration actively tried to upset the symposium because it objected to a book written by one of the other scheduled speakers. The administration finally did send Robert Driscoll, a State Department spokesman, to debate Raymond Bonner, a former New York Times reporter who recently authored a book critical of U.S. policy in Central America, and Nora Hamilton, a southern Cal political science professor.

With the exception of Bonner's scheduled presence, Stanford's debate was to be just like the scores of other symposiums held at Cal-Riverside, Florida, most of the Ivy League schools, and Northwestern, among many others, since school began this fall.

The administration couldn't make up its mind about facing Bonner, first agreeing to send a speaker and then rescinding the offer only days later.

"They said they wouldn't debate anyone but Bonner," Kazin recalls. "They put us off, saying they couldn't get anyone interested in coming."

Kazin claims a department spokesman insinuated Bonner is a liar and an enemy of the administration's El Salvador policy.


"They said they wouldn't send a speaker, even if Diana Diamond, a Stanford New Service reporter who contacted the State Department's Public Diplomacy Office when she heard about Kazin's problems. Her contact told her "Bonner lied and gave incorrect facts."

The department suggested Kazin enlist a pro-Reagan freelancer writer, known for his attempts to refute Bonner's book, as a speaker.

But two days after Diamond's call, the department's Office of Policy Planning and Coordination informed Kazin it would dispatch Driscoll, special assistant to the chairman of the Policy Planning Council, to debate Bonner and Hamilton.

"I don't work directly with El Salvador issues now," Driscoll admits. "But I was in El Salvador as a counselor for political affairs for 19 months (in 1981-82) when Bonner was there."

Driscoll claims Bonner is "part of the Sandinistas" and has "always gone head-to-head on the issues" with the department.

"This is just conjecture," Kazin notes, "but I don't think the State Department wanted to give Bonner credibility or debate him on a campus that's seen as pro-Mondale."

Indeed, the department's reluctance to participate in the symposium was evident in Driscoll's arguments, Nora Hamilton, the third speaker believes.

"Bonner argued more enthusiastically than Driscoll," she contends. "Bonner was just preaching to his choir," Driscoll counters.

Most students support military

Demonstrations don't worry officials

College Press Service
Despite a recent resurgence of student protest against military and Central Intelligence Agency recruiting on campuses, military officials are confident they won't be excluded from colleges as they were until just a few years ago.

Most students support the military, and the demonstrations comprise only a small minority, they say.

But a tense sit-in at Tufts and protests of military recruiting at Oregon and Minnesota in just the last two weeks amount to the most anti-military activity on campuses in years.

Last week, students at Cal-Davis, Illinois and about 20 other colleges also carried anti-military recruiting signs as they demonstrated at one-year anniversaries of the American invasion of Grenada.

Minnesota students, moreover, plan a bigger protest when CIA recruiters come to Minneapolis later this month.

Military and CIA officials, however, dismiss the activities as merely bothersome and in some cases even beneficial.

Marine recruiters at the University of Oregon, for example, say demonstrations there "give the Marine Corps front-page publicity and saves us advertising dollars."

"We recruit on-campus once a week, and there are three or four protesters who are there every time we're there," Marine Capt. B.J. Toynbee comments.

"They're not violent, we know them all by name, and they're nice guys."

Trouble erupts only when other groups show up to protest against the protesters, he adds.

"The anarchists show up and scream at the protestors for not getting violent," Toynbee explains. "Then the communist youth groups say 'Down with the U.S.' and the pro-Reagan group screams at the communists."

"Then we're stuck there aren't concerned about the military," agrees Lt. Col. James Baker, University of Wisconsin ROTC director.

"They're apathetic about the military," he insists. "There are other things they're more interested in."

Five demonstrators, however, were arrested at UW in October for digging a "grave" in front of the ROTC training building.

But Baker says most of the 50 protestors, and four of those arrested, were not students.

"It was supposed to be part of a nationwide anti-nuke protest," he adds, "but they latched onto ROTC for their demonstration because we're all there is. There are no military bases around here."

It got nastier at Tufts University in Massachusetts, where 19 student protestors ran a CIA recruiter off campus and forced the administration to keep the agency away, at least temporarily.

"We're having the most successful recruiting drive in years," Marine Capt. Toynbee boasts. "We saw 150 students last year, and I'm sure we'll see many more this year."

For every two students who protest our being here, six or eight come up to us and say they're glad we're here, Toynbee notes.

The press misrepresented the incident. Tufts spokesman Curtis Barnes states.

Newspaper reports claim Tufts officials banned CIA recruiters from campus following the protest.

But Curtis says "it is a suspension, not a ban. We won't invite them back until we determine a speaker policy."

The CIA could face further dissent this month at Minnesota, where the Central American Working Group plans to picket CIA recruiters to protest the agency's roll in Central America.

"We've organized a forum and demonstration while CIA recruiters are on campus," group spokeswoman Sarah McDonnell reports. "We're trying to help student understand the issues."

"McDonnell's group also organized a recent demonstration to mark the first anniversary of the Grenada affair."

The demonstrations didn't affect recruitment or dim student interest in the military, officials say.

"We're having the most successful recruiting drive in years," Marine Capt. Toynbee boasts. "We saw 150 students last year, and I'm sure we'll see many more this year."

For every two students who protest our being here, six or eight come up to us and say they're glad we're here, Toynbee notes.

Two students brave the onset of winter.
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November 16, 1984
**NO PRAY, NO PLAY**

By Walter Beissinger, CPA

If you are aspiring to embark on a career in public accounting, now is the time to expand your professional choices. This fall, consider closely your opportunities with a local accounting firm.

Over 50 percent of all accounting practices are local firms. While most have fewer than 10 partners, at least 15 local firms in the Denver, Valley are large enough to offer accounting and business administration graduates considerable scope for flexing intellectual muscle. And they offer advantages over their larger, national brethren.

First, consider EXPOSURE. In a Big Eight accounting firm, entry-level employees are normally channeled into a single section, such as auditing, tax or management consulting. In a smaller firm, you’ll have exposure to all areas of specialization — from day one. You can decide later if you want to specialize or not.

Next, consider ATMOSPHERE. With a local firm, you’ll know every staff member and partner within just a few weeks. And they’ll know you. You won’t get buried for four months on an audit of a “Fortune 500” company.

Consider VARIETY. At a local firm, you’ll have all the excitement of hands-on exposure to every facet of the practice — in a short time frame. And your experience will encompass the full range of clients that most large local firms serve: ambitious, individual entrepreneurs to large established corporate clients.

Should we mention ADVANCEMENT? Your work will be known intimately to all partners of a local firm. You will rise on your merit. And you won’t necessarily have to take your place at the wrong end of a long chain of years to become partner.

QUALITY OF LIFE is another factor. If you love the Delaware Valley as I do, you’ll be glad to know that little travel is required in smaller firms, and most of it is local. Maybe that explains in part why staff turnover in local firms is generally lower than in national firms.

Always consider COMPENSATION. Compensation is competitive. It’s slightly below the Big Eight at entry-level, but in just a few years it tends to be higher at local firms. From there, it depends on you as to how far ambition and talent will take you.

Consider your own COMFORT ZONE. Not everyone is at ease working in a large bureaucracy; some people have an affinity for the smaller organizational climate, which tends to be less formal and more personal.

In sum: Yes, there is rich and varied life outside the Big Eight. Generally you’re challenged earlier at a smaller firm because you’re directly involved with clients earlier, helping business owners and top managers make financial decisions with consequences you’ve helped anticipate. You experience the same professional complexities as your counterparts in Big Eight firms but on a smaller scale over a shorter horizon. Feedback is quicker. High visibility — both within the firm and among its clients — comes early when you join a local firm. I hope to see you there.

Walter Beissinger is managing partner of Goldenberg/Rosenthal, Philadelphia’s independent local accounting firm, with 10 partners and a staff of 60. He welcomes students’ inquiries on the subject of choosing an accounting firm.
News of Yesteryear

By Amy Kistler

Over the years, campus laws have changed drastically. At one time in the history of Ursinus, women were not permitted to enter the men’s dorms. Parties with alcoholic beverages were unheard of for those under the age of 21. The following article, taken from The Ursinus Weekly dated Friday, June 2, 1972, relates one advancement made toward the greater freedom of Ursinus students. The topic of the article is extended curfew for women.

WOMEN ENJOY FREEDOM WITH EXTENDED CURFEW

By MOLLY KEIM

A system of extended curfew for women was proposed last year by Jane Siegel, president of Women’s Campus Council. The system permits second semester freshmen and upperclass girls to return to their dorms, on Friday and Saturday nights, after the regular 2 a.m. curfew but before 6 a.m. According to Dean Harris, of the 321 women eligible to use the extended curfew system approximately 33 percent participate in it.

Utilization of this trial method is dependent on signed permission of the girl’s parents and a fee of ten dollars. Students on off-campus dorms, on returning to campus, must report to Paisley Hall where they will be escorted back to their residences.

Dean Harris feels that the ability to exercise independence and the indication of responsibility represent the basic advantages of the system. It provides more flexibility for the girls and develops a sense of responsibility that is essential for young women in future life.

Although a few isolated problems have been encountered, the response in general shows that the trust placed in the girls has been warranted.