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By JON WEAVER

On September 22, the Board of Directors gave final approval to a much revised edition of the Bill of Rights, completing the process started by a unanimous vote of approval by the faculty on September 21.

Passage of the Bill came in the wake of summer meetings of Board, faculty, and student committee representatives, all attending at their own expense. The Bill, as passed, is the result of compromises reached in those meetings, and differs substantially from the student proposed form for a Bill of Rights as printed in the Weekly in the last semester. Student committee representative Jane Siegel describes the Bill as a general statement of student freedoms and responsibilities as opposed to the specific role changes proposed in the original student draft. As a compromise, the Bill, of course, does not achieve all of the goals of the original proposal, but was found acceptable in the grounds that "it does clarify the position of the student body." Miss Siegel explains that the Bill as a statement of policy reflects at least, a student and faculty attitude, and is definite in its statement where previous plans were not.

Judicial Reform Curtailed

The greatest hopes of the student community were focused in the areas of judicial reform, and the lack of specific statement on the part of student demonstrator. An 11 point program for judicial reform was passed by the committee. Of this, the President's statement of the program was included in the final Bill of Rights; the 11 specific points for reform were omitted. Of student demonstration the final draft says only, "Demonstrations are considered appropriate (as a means of communication of grievances) by the Board of Directors," but the many statements of policy Miss Siegel cited today, "I feel student activity very recently has probably done some unfortunate damage to the students' stand under the Bill of Rights."

U.S.G.A. Plans

With the question of the Bill of Rights thus settled, the U.S.G.A. has turned its energies to other plans. These, as explained by President Alan Novak, include the following:

A reorganization and decentralization of U.S.G.A. into six or seven "autonomous department heads" each responsible for more work on their own. These departments would be financially responsible to the U.S.G.A. President. These departments would be projected organize, dealing with programs of environmental concern, communications, community affairs, national affairs, academic affairs, a pre-professional scholarship program, and judicial reform.

Another U.S.G.A. project for this year is to initiate the presenting of student representatives in meetings of the Board of Directors, and of the faculty. The plan would call for an appointed representative to the Board, and another rotating representative changing with particular interest when one permanent representative to the faculty.

By CHUCK CHAMBERS

Two protest demonstrations shook Ursinus College last week, one taking place late Tuesday night, October 8, and the other on the following day after lunch.

Demonstration of student frustration at the segregation of dorms, was caused by recent vigorous enforcement of the social rules. This is accomplished within Preventatives, cancellation of the sensitivity session, and President designate Petrovich. The Weekly is the underlying cause for both.

Thursday night's demonstration started in the quadrangle of the New Men's Dorm after Dean Richard and Whatley entered his office banded by a seven black announcing his arrival at 10:45 p.m. The "Whatchee Alarm" sign, a device to warn the occupants of the dorm when the Dean is inspecting rooms, and a fire alarm had preceded smaller disturbances earlier in the week.

A shouting crowd quickly formed in the darkened quad. (all lights were off except one pointing at a sign reading "STUDENT POWER") and its contentions were pointed out that the convention was turned back on the campus by the police at the request of President Novak.

For a short time traffic was blocked along the highway: five police cars, half a dozen Collegiate resident, arrived to observe the demonstration. Police were5 a uniformed and the angry, chanting mob, until the students turned toward the campus threatened with arrest. The crowd receded to the dorms and resumed chanting.

By this time, Vice-President Paul White was on the scene with police at his home while correcting English. "The police slowly come and met with several representatives of the crowded in the Men's dorms," he said. "We (the police) are at 12:30 a.m. He said that the power of the police was policy changes at that time, that Dr. Bozorth was there, and that Dr. Hefferich was on his farm, that the rest of the administration was not aware that any policy changes were in effect. He recommended that the go home and get a good night's sleep.

During Dr. White's speech, The Weekly was the main event. The crowd was between 250 to 300 people, more than hundred others were turned away when hanging out the windows. The crowd was unique because it contained many former students on campus rather than just "graders," and because of its size.

After Mr. White had gone, much of the crowd left, the rest electing to peacefully protest. The police brought blankets, tents, sleeping bags, and more than enough to last the night. What began as a small crowd ended up as a party. The power of the crowd was shut off at 2:00 am and the administration had ordered up at 2:30 a.m., driven away by the cold.

The girls who went into the dorms at 2:00 a.m. had their names taken by the house masters, but the administration later said that any protest in the dorms was dependently an action. Dean Ruth Harris stood at the doorway of Paisley Hall watching until 2:00 am.

The next demonstration took place the next day. The chanting crowd marched from behind Stock Hall to the administration building and on to the pole where it dispersed. The only people the administration talked to were members of the college. The police were there Dr. Hefferich in the Presidential dining room at the time of the demonstration, and no one of either protest has been reported so far.

Later in the afternoon Dr. Hefferich discussed the situation with students in the snack shack and met with the U.S.G.A. Student representatives in the student center with the President, about 2:00 p.m. The meeting was frank and informal, and the President charged understanding the other's position better, but not was accomplishing the administration and his decision in a letter to students.

"I appreciated the privilege of discussing last night's dispute on campus, and I would not be moved to make any concessions as" (Continued on Page 3, Col. 1)
All Power to Whom?

"All Power to the People!"

A wrong-righting rally, rock festival, or rock-throwing meeting is not complete today without some would-be Robespierre to chant "All Power to the People!" or some other two syllable slogan, which places it within the intellectual reach of most New Leftists, but one still wonders if they know what it means.

The average person might be tempted to assume that it meant most of the people, but these people are the same people who participated in the militant contest between Spiro Agnew and Jerry Rubin it is highly doubtful that the latter would win. The defensive New Leftist could claim, with his Military-Industrial-Complex-under-every-bed mentality, that the people mostly under this rubric include the voters, the policemen, and the administrators. Assuming this claim is justified and assuming the system were changed, would the Silent Majority vote for the leaver-Rubin ticket? Doubtful.

The sad, truly sad, thing is that such a hackneyed slogan is typical of a revolution that is supposed to represent something radically new. Revolution after revolution in history has been carried out by the same class of people, the "people," and the "people" have served as an excuse for countless acts of savagery and despotism. When the New Left leaders scream "All power to the people," it is evident from their actions—such as the words of the people whoateurs not to hear and blocading classes they do not want others to attend—that they mean "all power to us as the people's self-appointed representatives, and if the people know what's good for them, they better get out of our way." It was not so very long ago that Hitler and Stalin were sure that they were doing what was best for "the people" too.

The substantive part of the slogan is "all power." The days of Flower Children and Gentle People are long gone. Now the New Left wants power, and that power means coercion and violence, including burning, bombing and shooting. Nothing original about that. When the Establishment does it, New Leftists call it subversive, but when they do it, they call it a valid form of protest. Considering that, one wonders how the New Left gained a reputation as being less hypocritical than older generations. The New Left loves everyone, except the middle class, wealthy, police, and people over the age of thirty. The New Left is against war abroad, but favors it here at home. Napoleon is bad; firebombs are justified. The New Left is for the people; those who disagree with it, are not the people.

The crux of the matter is that to substitute the New Left for the Old Establishment would simply mean to substitute one group of rulers for another, and if we judge by their words and actions, there is every reason to believe that far more people would die because of New Left repression than did at Kent State.

Would you really prefer "the people" to have all the power over your life, power backed by the coercion of the state, or would you rather "the people" and the state lose some of the power they already have over your life? A much better slogan, and a much more radical and original one, would be "All power to the individual." Let neither Mark Rudd nor any other New Leftist have any say or decision, unless the individual himself make his own decisions about his own life.
THE KITCHEN CYNIC

"Impresslorable"

By JANE SIEGEL

Friends, little pitchers, and a freshmen, lend me your big ears and flammable minds. You have come here and may bury yourself; not the cancer, (new play) Whether his toilier to hear the songs and arrows of outrageous fortune or speak out in some- searched criticism is truly a lab- yrinthine perplexity for the Class of 1974.

But even speaking of big ears and quiet innocence, the freshmen still deserve a hand for spirit and organization. Interests, and bound, moderated me- that I am, far be it from me to unanswerably illuminate only the weak- points of the group. The students members of the Ursinus community have shown themselves to be "emp- geringly" sensitive and politically motivated. Neither can they be de- because they lack such cru- cial urinalus info as what greene, warded really means (only tem- porary) or for whom the siren tolls. Generally also dictates that all be made honorable effete flags for not insisting on baring a bold, in the soccer field with a bonfire. It doesn't even matter that very few freshmen are familiar with college rules and policies yet.

But ignorance of the law is ex- ceptable only as long as these laws are not broken or seriously ques- tioned. Beyond that point naivete becomes knavery and fall. It's like being caught with your dive down, from a T.D. Our also of- ten-time moderates and just liberalists.

Indignant cries from both high and low places will come wingswing across the Pericles claiming the fresh are just victims of circumstance, state, and, in fact, their minds have been corrupted by trashtastic, cynical, and radical upperclassmen. They have just washed the impressionable underclassmen with one-head-first-half-listening to these proposals for reform, some fresh think that's what good for the ears must be equally good for the mind. "I think, my thoughts after all, oh big wheel."

Well, I wouldn't know about this outside-in method of the minors minus charge, but I have (in my own quiet way) examined the tradi- tional approach people involved in rea- sonable change. As a matter of fact, most upperclassmen who critically review college rules have spent considerable time and cau- tious energy swimming up the "proper channels." The very fact that they are upperclassmen and still at Ursinus is very tangible evidence that they know the waters well before they jumped in the cur- rent.

O.K., if formal and informal chop sessions must be rated "X," no under sophomore year admitted with a police pluck-them-the Ursinus Coun- sel Handbook (any year seems to do) should be added to that spell-binding list of required course readings. Yeah, several upperclassmen are fed-up about casual reforms, but that doesn't mean that freshmen have to ignore like a bundle of dried twigs. Keep even their most onerous impor- tance, and this is great news for the Day of theDude; even though brisk and stimul- ating, still leaves you a little dump around the vicinity.

I mean enthusiasm is appreci- ated, give them the moral and min- or-minor maids charge, but I have (in my own quiet way) examined the tradi- tional approach people involved in rea- sonable change. As a matter of fact, most upperclassmen who critically review college rules have spent considerable time and cau- tious energy swimming up the "proper channels." The very fact that they are upperclassmen and still at Ursinus is very tangible evidence that they know the waters well before they jumped in the cur- rent.

I mean enthusiasm is appreci- ated, give them the moral and min- or-minor maids charge, but I have (in my own quiet way) examined the tradi- tional approach people involved in rea- sonable change. As a matter of fact, most upperclassmen who critically review college rules have spent considerable time and cau- tious energy swimming up the "proper channels." The very fact that they are upperclassmen and still at Ursinus is very tangible evidence that they know the waters well before they jumped in the cur- rent.

I mean enthusiasm is appreci- ated, give them the moral and min- or-minor maids charge, but I have (in my own quiet way) examined the tradi- tional approach people involved in rea- sonable change. As a matter of fact, most upperclassmen who critically review college rules have spent considerable time and cau- tious energy swimming up the "proper channels." The very fact that they are upperclassmen and still at Ursinus is very tangible evidence that they know the waters well before they jumped in the cur- rent.

MRS. MARTHA FRANKLIN

By CAROL BARENBLITZ

Martha Franklin has been a part of Ursinus College for forty-five years. Although she is not very well known by the present student body, most of the alumni hold a fond place for her in their hearts. She is still in touch with many former Ursinus students, and last year, alumni sent her seventeen hundred Christmas cards. She tells her a most surprising way that the postman would come: She says that at least ten times a week, she counts the number of each day, she averaged seventy- five to one hundred cards a day for the holiday season.

She is loved by students and ad- ministration alike. President Heflif- fer, says of her, "She's been a loyal employee since the mind of man seems not to the contrary." He reminisces over past years at Ursinus as he decries that Mar- tha Franklin is one of those people who built the college. She has had many different jobs at Ursinus. When she first came to the school, she was at the dorms in the men's dorms and two days in the kitchen. Since then, she has held several post-

LITTLE MAN ON CAMPUS

(Continued from Page 1, Col. 5) a result of demonstrations. Repeated demonstrations aroused eccen- tricities in a case of damage to prop- ery or violations of campus policy. I approve of six Open House days during the first semester and wish the Dean of Men and Dean of Women that the supervision of the students, but I do not wish the exclusive responsibility of the students.

Dr. Richard Bechor, the new Dean of the College, stated his view, and most of the administra- tion's view of the protest. "It was not only now, but some of the protest was due to the fact that the issue was blocked. This does not improve relations with the community. The demonstration was not calculated to appeal to the logic on the part of the demonstra- tors. You do not negotiate with two-hundred people. We did not want..."

Progressive Orientation Program Welcomes 312 Freshmen to UC

By BRUCE HESS

A revolutionary approach to tra- ditional Ursinus orientation pro- grams was converted into practice four weeks ago by the Central Co- ordinating Committee of 1970. Disarmed was the practice of mi- tigating the freshmen through in- duced fear to endure a rigid pro- gram; instead, the opportunity was afforded to the freshmen to self- motivated in a liberal, non-manda- tory program that existed in an at- mosphere of impartiality.

The purpose of this change in orientation policy was so that the freshmen would have an opportunity to personally introduced to Ursinus College in all its aspects. Such an introduction was accomplished by those freshmen who attended many of the program's activities. The reason for such a success in the achievement of this introduction to Ursinus College can be attributed to the friendly communication that

Martha Franklin: Lifetime of Love and Loyalty

All mankind. Martha has a policy that has befriended many. She always had the tops with her. She can talk freely about all matters and this is very necessary the need for gossip just to keep the conversation going. Martha is one of the most enjoyable experiences a student has. She always has stories of the old days of Ursinus College. The most humorous of which, is when the last time Mr. Charnel was compulsory. For ex- ample, to find a room, one of the old timers of Ursinus, some of the men of Ursinus "bor- rowed" some flour from the kitch- en, then in Freshman Hall. They deposited the flour in the organ room. At this time, when the organist played the opening chord, Boos was white.

One of the most memorable eras of Ursinus College was when the first President. Martha knew all the boys, and it was especially hard for them to dance cake and date nut bars. In fact, she still corresponds with many of them and the 30th reunion. She still talks to them at that time.

But of all her likes and loves, one she most favorite is that students have always felt free to talk to her about anything. While there is a gill in her eyes, she has known the days when girls came to her house, and at ten o'clock they would call her boys- friends to come have cake and cof- fee and take them back to their rooms.

Because of her whole being asci- nal search out her students in all ways. She always encourages students to take their wives and fiancés of the campus and wide. She found that she had to propose to this girl at Martha's home. An other was very helpful.

But nothing could illustrate Martha's love for people more than when we went to interview her at her house. After we talked with her, she insisted that we have some coffee. As we were drinking the coffee, she gave us some very sug- ary pie, and again, the answer was okay. As we made ready to return to our dorms we asked for our coffee supplies to eat later, to make certain that we wouldn't get hungry. She invited us to her home, anywhere on campus, stop for a few minutes, and have some more coffee. You will never be sorry.

You will never be sorry.
The Sports Editor's Corner

By Jim Williams

Before the season began Coach Whatley called his team, "unpredictable." Thus far his "prediction" has been accurate. The team has looked very good in two of its three games. Equally encouraging has been the Blue Jays' scoring drives. But the efforts have not been enough as the Blue Jays squeaked by with a 24-20 victory.

As in the season's opener against F & M, the Bears were sleepy in the first half. Blue Jay QB Bob Pfeifer and his receivers Bill Donovon and Tim Weglicki seemed unstoppable. With 5:20 remaining in the half Johns Hopkins held a commanding 20-0 lead.

Along Came Fiever!

Ursinus came alive in the final minutes of the first half. The reason? Mark Fieger. Fieger stepped in and ruled the team with some fine signal-calling. Alternating the running attack among four backs, Fieger moved the ball within scoring range, and then carried it in himself.

A bad break for Johns Hopkins kept UC's hopes alive in the third quarter. Deep in their own territory, the Blue Jays were forced to punt. A bad snap from center was anybody's ball until UC's Malo pulled it up and fell into the end zone.

Johns Hopkins soon tallied another TD and seemed safe, but the Bears didn't fold. Behind the new 26-14 as the fourth quarter began, the Blue Jays were forced to punt. A bad break for Johns Hopkins kept UC's hopes alive in the third quarter. Deep in their own territory, the Blue Jays were forced to punt. A bad snap from center was anybody's ball until UC's Malo pulled it up and fell into the end zone.

Johns Hopkins soon tallied another TD and seemed safe, but the Bears didn't fold. Behind the Blue Jays, Mark Fieger moved the ball within scoring range, and then carried it in himself.

A bad break for Johns Hopkins kept UC's hopes alive in the third quarter. Deep in their own territory, the Blue Jays were forced to punt. A bad snap from center was anybody's ball until UC's Malo pulled it up and fell into the end zone.

Johns Hopkins soon tallied another TD and seemed safe, but the Bears didn't fold. Behind the Blue Jays, Mark Fieger moved the ball within scoring range, and then carried it in himself.

The Bears were sleepily against the Hopkins defense. Behind the Blue Jays, Mark Fieger moved the ball within scoring range, and then carried it in himself.

Boh "142" Lane missed his goal of 30, but still ran an excellent race in fourth. Round out the top seven were Johns Hopkins. Jim Witkowski in sixth, and Dave "Freshman" Mike Wood in seventh. Although not scoring for UC, fresh Bay Breek put on a strong kick and passed three Kings.
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