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U.S.G.A. Threatens Boycott Protest
At a November 17 meeting, U.S.G.A. President Ang Italiano cast the deciding vote to defeat a motion, called by student senator, for a student boycott of the Ursinus College Union Snack Shop.

The U.S.G.A. President said he would approve a plan to circulate a petition asking for a student Union Program Board meeting to consider U.S.G.A. plans to increase student employment, Snack Shop hours, and modify the U.S.G.A.'s term services to students.

The Union Program Board will consider a December 11 motion to consider a U.S.G.A. committee report on Snack Shop operations.

Cafeteria Dinner Affirmed
The Ursinus College Dining Hall Committee unanimously voted to recommend that the college dining service continue with the present cafeteria style; positive reactions from the Men's Campus Council, Women's Campus Council and the Ursinus College Union Council in other areas; George Fisher of the Ursinus Dining Room, which is under contract to provide service at Wismer Hall, agreed to prepare a cost analysis of a meal plan program offering students the option of paying for less than the present meal meal plan.

Between the hours of midnight and 2:00 A.M. on November 13, someone or persons broke into the president's dining room in Ursinus Hall. According to spokesmen, and damage figures, these are the best possible damage to work in terms of irresponsible destruction. Fire-alarm boxes have been destroyed, plate glass windows broken and several doors and panes kicked in. The door of Wilkinson 216 has been repaired.

Campus Counselor Robert C. Brancatelli and Jonathan Zapp, President of Ursinus Appliance Team, have placed more emphasis on numerical techniques. Both of these courses will be taught by Dr. Robert Brancatelli and Jonathan Zapp, a second level course and will deal with a second higher level language such as Computer Programming I, a second level course and will deal with a second higher level language such as
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Jessup Announces Computer Courses
In the fall of 1978, a major revision in the computer science curriculum will be put into effect. This change is the result of student pressure to complete the college's training programs in the computer field. The only core courses which the school now offers in introduction to Computer Science 101, will be replaced by two new offerings: The first is Introduction to Computers, 14; a second introductory course, will be geared toward science majors and will place more emphasis on numerical techniques. Both of these courses will be taught by Dr. Edward Corwin. More advanced courses will also be offered. Computer Programming II, taught by Dr. Edward Corwin, is an intermediate level course and will deal with a second higher level language such as

Williamson Nominates Two
Professor William B. Williamson, Liaison Officer for the Danforth Foundation at Ursinus College has announced that he has nominated John E. Atkinson and Barbara Ann Vincent for the Danforth Fellowship Award for 1978. Williamson was joined in his search for the most outstanding candidates by Professors Albert L. Reiser and Lloyd H. Jones, Jr. who serves as his selection committee.

Four Elected to Task Force
Four students were elected to positions on the Task Force for Student Life in the election held on November 9, 1977.

Paul Brogan is a junior majoring in psychology. He is the U.S.G.A.'s representative to the Union Program Board, first vice president of Alpha Phi Omega, fraternity, and a member of the Psychology Club. He is a member of Newman Society and is a lab assistant for Experimental Psychology.

Dave Evans is a junior majoring in biology. He is vice president of Interfraternity Council and chairman of the Audio-Visual Department of the Library. Evans is a member of Delta Pi Sigma Fraternity, Brownback-Anders Pre-Medical Society and Beachwood Chemical Society and is a member of Joe Simon.

Briatia Matlowski, is also a junior majoring in biology. She is faculty editor of the Ruby and secretary of the CCC. Matlowski is a member of Beachwood Chemical Society and Anders Pre-Medical Society; Women's Campus Council and Messiah.

Sam Ciapanna, is a junior majoring in political science. He is president of the class of 1979, president of the Campus Council and chairman of the Pre-Legal Society. He is a member of U.S.G.A., Pre-Legal Society and WRUDC. He also works as a library assistant and a Union House Manager.

Ursinus Appliance Team
On Thursday, November 19, Ursinus juniors were invited to prove their skills at the U.S.G.A. Pancake Breakfast. This Pancake Breakfast was planned by a group of junior students who demonstrated their cooking skills by preparing a variety of dishes

U.S.G.A. Unaware of Breakout

Jessup announces that the number of students enrolled for the fall of 1978 was 1,056, down less than 2 percent from last year's 1,078.

The senior class has 217 students, down 11 percent from 238 last year. Sophomores dropped 13 percent, to 230 from 263.

The 613 men and 443 women were from 37 states and six foreign countries. Pennsylvania led the list with 710 students, of which 243 were from Montgomery County, and New Jersey in second place, sent 243 students to Ursinus.

The Evening School registered 621 students for the fall term, nearly the same as last year's 624.

The 223 special and part-time students.
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COMMENT

by Ann M. Weiberah

The Ursinus Weekly is now experiencing operational difficulties. This is the fault of every member of the Ursinus College community. The Weekly has had problems finding writers for years. In fact, three years ago, Editor-in-Chief Cynthia Fitzgerald placed a blank front page to make a point regarding the lack of contributors. I think this is going to be another editorial on apathy. No, not quite. The Weekly's problem is that of a lack of writers and contributors is only one example of the problems at Ursinus College.

Why don't people write Letters to the Editor to express disagreement or dissent toward someone or something? Why don't people write to express agreement or assent? I cannot believe that everyone is too lazy or too afraid to sit aside a few minutes to write a short letter. No, the problem really is fear. Students say, "if I say something against the administration or some faculty member, I will suffer for it." Faculty members say that they "mean to write." Then why don't they? Maybe they fear for their positions at Ursinus College.

The primary offenders are biology majors, who have a lot of complaints about their department and professors but feel that by expressing negative opinions their Promissorial Committee recommendation will be affected. If this is actually the case, and if constructive criticism would result in a "bad recommendation," then Ursinus College is in worse shape than I thought.

One of the stated goals of the Ursinus College Bulletin (1977-1978) reads: "to cultivate...an ability to think logically and critically." Why print it if it is not true in every sense? Flawed philosophical aims sound no more convincing if they are not supported by an audience of opinion, written, or without fear. In addition, people must be willing to accept constructive criticism in a mature manner.

This suggests another common problem at Ursinus College, which specifically relates to the Weekly — prior restraint. There have been several "requests" to date under this editorial staff, made by members of the faculty and administration, to see copy before it goes to press. In previous editorial it was stated that this would not be allowed, and that no one will see copy except the staff. This problem stems from fear also. Fear causes a person to worry about what will be said in an article. The Weekly will strive to print only the facts, and its readers should not fear facts. If a person is in a position of responsibility, he she is responsible for his her own comments. This situation demands trust, trust in the integrity of the news writer.

Until the present atmosphere of fear is dispelled, productive thought and expression will never take place at Ursinus College. The college prides itself on the close relationship that exists among students and the membership of the community. In most cases, this relationship is indeed close. However, the element of fear which is evident in almost every aspect of campus life — academic and social — threatens to destroy the humanity of Ursinus College. Ursinus college is a safe environment for fear is merely the result of careless words of the college community can say what they think, when they choose and in the manner in which they choose.

Dear Editor,

With tremendous pressure incurred upon an aspiring medical student, the large amounts of time which one must expend to pursue a career in medicine, I find it very difficult to understand the topic of an article discussing the significance of a campus inequity which has been bothering me for two years. I am fortunate, I think, that the problem effects a limited number of students, it is so blatantly unfair that a long discussion of the pros and cons of the matter is quite unnecessary.

On several Saturdays over the past two years, I have taken brief respite from my arduous task of studying to jog up in the gymnasium with the intent of exercising indoors, so I'd be protected from the inclement weather. Much to my delight, President Richard F. Richter has stated a desire to improve the atmosphere of Ursinus College. The first step is to eradicate fear from this campus. This can only occur if there is a general maturity on campus. People must be willing to express their opinions openly, without fear. In addition, people must be willing to accept constructive criticism in a mature manner.

The Wkly.

Senior, Jonathan Zap

Dear Editor,

While recently perusing a very informative periodical, I came across an article which I found very fitting with the Ursinus situation. I am sending it to you for printing in the Weekly, in hopes that it will enlighten the student body on the importance of freedom which they can employ to improve their grades.

Make love, get A's+

Homework was never so much fun! Results of an extensive study conducted among many students show that one's life increases so do one's grades. Martin Segregor, a sociologist at the University of Perneto Rico, conducted a survey among 1000 students at the University in order to find out if sex plays any role in the grades a student performs in the classroom.

Married students and others who participated in sexual in- terns showed a 20% increase in their grades over those of bachelor's students. My reason for choosing the marriage grade is evident in almost every aspect of campus life — academic and social — threatens to destroy the humanity of Ursinus College. Ursinus college is a safe environment for fear is merely the result of careless words of the college community can say what they think, when they choose and in the manner in which they choose.

Men and women who both noticed no differences in grades, but in researching their records over previous years it was evident that the grades had gone up in accordance. We hope people will realize that the key to good grades lies in working together, academic, and social — threatens to destroy the humanity of Ursinus College. Ursinus college is a safe environment for fear is merely the result of careless words of the college community can say what they think, when they choose and in the manner in which they choose.
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Movie Attack

Sex and Drugs

By Jonathan Zap

MacArthur

MacArthur is a well done, competent movie that somehow falls short of the mark. The movie is, first of all, heavily influenced by Patton. The Universal Studio's press release actually mentions Patton several times throughout the powerfully image of Patton that weakens MacArthur in contrast. Gregory Peck, despite his unequal ability to convey gravity and authority, does not have George C. Scott's range as an actor. He is always impressive as MacArthur but only occasionally is he really moving.

The supporting cast, with any bad news, was excellent and the scenes and sets were also well presented. The movie is, in fact, without special news and definitely worth seeing. But if you are expecting another Patton, you may be disappointed.

Interviews can become very nerve wracking. Fortunately, the results of these interviews remain only a portion of the overall recommendation. Recommendations range from 1 (superior—poor) to 5 (excellent—poor). The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:

- Writing
- Characterization
- Technical Skill
- Originality

The criteria for evaluation are:
The Urusins football team proved itself by having a record of 5-1-1 in the season and barely survived the fianco with a 4-3-6 loss. Coach Karas and his Grizzly staff went into the games with high expectations, resulting in outstanding individual performances from their team. When the content ended, they were still winning.

Widner's Coin Manlove and company steadily ate away at the Urusins defense in the first quarter and unleashed themselves from there on. The Urusins only teams scored a minus 32 TDs, thirteen 13 yards, on 13 yards, and ten 9 yards, one TD in the fourth quarter.
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