

Ursinus College Digital Commons @ Ursinus College

World War II Era Documents, 1939-1945

World War II Era (1939-1945)

6-16-1941

Letter From Francis Mairs Huntington-Wilson to Amos R. E. Pinchot, June 16, 1941

Francis Mairs Huntington-Wilson

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.ursinus.edu/fmhw_secondworldwar_documents

Part of the Diplomatic History Commons, Political History Commons, and the United States History Commons

Click here to let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Huntington-Wilson, Francis Mairs, "Letter From Francis Mairs Huntington-Wilson to Amos R. E. Pinchot, June 16, 1941" (1941). *World War II Era Documents, 1939-1945*. 49. https://digitalcommons.ursinus.edu/fmhw_secondworldwar_documents/49

This Letter is brought to you for free and open access by the World War II Era (1939-1945) at Digital Commons @ Ursinus College. It has been accepted for inclusion in World War II Era Documents, 1939-1945 by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Ursinus College. For more information, please contact aprock@ursinus.edu.

Woodbury, Connecticut,
Monday, June 16, 1941.

Dear Amos,

I have always been so fond of you, and have so respected and enjoyed your intelligence, that his shocks and pains me to know that even since the nation has adopted its policy, through Congress and the President, you still countenance the activities of "America First". I can explain what is to me your aberration only upon the theory that your hatered of Roosevelthaubconciously distorts your judgment; that you are not keeping your reasoning separated from your emotions.

are weak, prejudiced, and unconvincing to an impartial mind. I believe I answered them conclusively in a letter to the Times of May 11th, which you might usefully read. That I am right and you people are wrong tends strongly to be proved, I think, by a comparison of the heavy weight in of informed opion on my side, copared to the almost total absence of persons qualified to speak on foreign policy and national defense who are to be found on the side of "America First". To be an aviator, an automobil manufacturer, or a quartermaster, or even to have done good the bill work against Court packing and reorganization, as you so brilliantly did, and as Wheeler also did, implies no necessary wisdom or knowledge in fore eign policy. Danaher, who is with you in Connecticut, is the most ignorant and prejudiced man I ever knew in this field, a fact of which I have the proof.

The period for discussion ended March 11, when H. R. 1776 became the law of the land, since, implicit in that law are the policy of geting armaments to the British and of keeping command of the seas, which the President announced May 27th, when he also proclaimed a full nation

al emergency. Since the latter date, everyone should know what America's policy is. The continuing efforts of "America First" to sabotage that policy seems now rather like a rebellion of a minority against the express ed will of the Republic than like an exercise of free speach. To my mind mind it is virtually a shabby form of treason. By saying things that help help Hitler in that they foster disunity, distrust and doubt in our country, "America First", I think, gives aid and comfort to our communist and pro-German enemies within, and also to the country against which we are arming.

You must realize that only through cooperation with Britain on sea and in air can America's future be safeguarded; and that, if Britain failed, we should face a hostile world alone, with the choice of abject submission or indefinite regimentation for long war. You people talk of losing democracy if we go to war now: you never explain what will happen to us if Britain goes down through our failure to act in time.

I wished to let you know exactly how I feel about all this, and to say that I think you would do yourself honor and do a patriotic service by publicly reversing the position you have so unfortunately, I think, taken.

Very sincerely,