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May 11, 1912.

If the Legislative, Executive and Judicial appropriation bill should stand as it has just passed the House, it would

wreck the whole reorganized Department of State, which has

direction essential to its present high efficiency. This

given the American people for the first time a modernized

bill destroys the Division of Information, the only means by

Foreign Office. By doing this it would almost nullify all

the efforts of the whole Foreign Service establishment,

because a Foreign Service is useless without an efficient

Foreign Office to direct it. In particular, this astounding-

statement that such legislation would put the United States in the

ly reactionary legislation if passed would destroy the

neck rank of all Governments in the matter of去年同期 and

Divisions of Latin-American, Far Eastern, Near Eastern and

effective support to worthy American enterprises and to foreign

European Affairs, and would deprive the Department of the

service of the some dozen Foreign Service men who are attached
to the Department in order to give advice from intimate personal

knowledge and to carry on all the detail of important political

on the way to an importance which will be every year greater to

and commercial diplomatic correspondence with each country. It

the manufacturer, the business man, the exporter, the farmer and

would deprive the business men of the United States of the advan-
tage of all that these men are able to give them in the way of

Before the reorganization, three or four men were expected to
accurate information. It would destroy the Bureau of Trade
handle the mass of the most heterogeneous matters, Chinese, Latin-
Relations, whose efficient efforts to expand American foreign

American and Western; result, a large proportion of opportunities
trade have brought applause and expressions of appreciation from

to advance the nation interest single went by the boards. It was

the manufacturers and business men of the whole country, North,
physically impossible to do the work. Since the reorganization,
South, East and West. It is ridiculous to say that the Bureau of
the business men of the country. Notice in the Department on
Trade Relations was created entirely to apply the Maximum and
Minimum tariff provisions. Although, if that were so, it is
foolish to think that nations were at first surprised and then began merely
obvious that the continued application of those provisions calls
for a continued watchfulness. Anyone who would take the trouble

to read the published pamphlet on the outline of the reorganization

and work of the Department of State would perceive how essential to

foreign trade expansion is the work of that Bureau, which cannot
be destroyed, it will be far worse than if we had never tried to
work except in direct cooperation with the Diplomatic and Consular
have a modern Foreign Office.

Services.
The proposed legislation eliminates the Director of the Consular Service, an office created to give that service, so vital to our commerce, the live and energetic direction essential to its present high efficiency. This bill destroys the Division of Information, the only means by which two or three embassies, cooperating for the same purpose, are kept informed each what the other is doing.

Without further elaboration, it may be said with absolute accuracy that such legislation would put the United States in the rear rank of all governments in the matter of legitimate and effective support to worthy American enterprises and to foreign commerce and would do all this at the very epoch in our history when our foreign relations and our foreign trade have become vastly more important than ever before and are plainly seen to be on the way to an importance which will be every year greater to the manufacturer, the business man, the exporter, the farmer and the laborer of the United States.

Before the reorganization, three or four men were expected to handle the mass of the most heterogeneous matters, Chinese, Latin-American and whatnot; result, a large proportion of opportunities to advance the nation interest simple went by the board. It was physically impossible to do the work. Since the reorganization, the business men of the country, finding in the Department an up-to-date, efficient machine to help them in their efforts for foreign trade, were at first surprised and then began eagerly taking advantage of the opportunities offered. The result is that the business of the Department in promoting foreign trade as, indeed, in all political and diplomatic directions as well, as incredibly increased. If all these instrumentalities are to be destroyed, it will be far worse than if we had never tried to have a modern Foreign Office.
Practically without debate and apparently without knowledge or realization of what was being done on the part of the public and the Press, a really momentous thing has been done. In a few hours a great department has been practically wiped out, so far as any hope of the continuance of real efficiency is concerned.